FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

November 21, 2018

Contact: Kerwin Olson, CAC Executive Director, (317) 702-0461

CAC RECEIVES RESPONSES TO CARRIER RECORDS REQUEST

Over 1,000 pages of documents produced by Governor's Office after CAC wins in Court

INDIANAPOLIS – In a significant victory for governmental transparency, Citizens Action Coalition of Indiana ("CAC") prevailed in the Marion County Superior Court in September of 2018 against the Office of the Governor of the State of Indiana. CAC filed a complaint alleging violations of the Access to Public Records Act ("APRA") related to documents and emails sought by CAC regarding the widely publicized deal between Carrier and the State of Indiana. The Court ordered that the Office of the Governor confer with CAC and produce the documents responsive to the records requested by CAC.

After filing the complaint in June of 2017, the Office of the Governor had only produced a measly 22 pages of e-mails in response to CAC's record request in September of 2017. Those e-mails are available on CAC's website here:

https://www.citact.org/sites/default/files/September 2017 email records received by Citizens Action Coalition from Carrier record request.pdf

Now, after CAC's legal win in September of 2018, the Office of the Governor has produced over 1,000 pages of e-mails and related attachments, which are available on CAC's website here: https://www.citact.org/sites/default/files/November 2018 email records received by Citizens Action Coalition from Carrier record request.PDF

"Due to significant interest from the media and the public, we have made all of the documents we have received to this point available on our website," stated Kerwin Olson, Executive Director of CAC. "Although we are pleased that our legal and advocacy efforts have produced abundantly more documents, we are unclear as to why these documents were not initially produced back in 2017, and we are confused as to why certain redactions were made in some of the documents produced this month."

"CAC is still in the process of reviewing all of the produced records, and we have not made any final decisions regarding further action related to our initial request or the documents produced in response to the Court's order," concluded Mr. Olson.

######